

INTERNATIONAL BACCALAUREATE

ENGLISH B

EXTENDED ESSAY

Category 3: Literature

Individuality vs. Conformity: A Study of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty in *Fahrenheit 451*

Research Question: How do the Contrasting Characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty Highlight the Central Conflict Between Individuality and Conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*?

Word Count: 3847

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	3
2. Context – Framework.....	6
3. Clarisse McClellan: A Symbol of Individuality.....	8
4. Captain Beatty: Symbol of Conformity and Control.....	11
5. Juxtaposition of Clarisse and Beatty.....	14
6. Conclusion.....	16
7. Bibliography.....	17

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*?

Introduction

Fahrenheit 451, written by Ray Bradbury in 1953, not only serves as a literary work that represents its time but also stands out among novels of the last century due to its dystopian atmosphere and unusual, informative themes and motifs. Considering the major characters and themes featured in the novel, it has remained a tribute novel, frequently reprinted and analyzed in depth by literary scholars. Set in an oppressive future where reading books is not allowed and when it is found out, they assign a fireman to burn the book. As the title of the novel refers, the books are not praised as a valuable source of information, but they are destroyed at 451 degrees Fahrenheit. In such a world, Bradbury gives his readers a glimpse of a world in which people are discouraged from questioning the system and thinking critically. Through this haunting vision, the novel represents the consequences of suppression, censorship, and violation of individuality.

Ray Bradbury's *Fahrenheit 451* narrates the political tension of the mid-twentieth century regarding the ideological repression and increasing social conformity. Since Bradbury was highly affected by events such as McCarthyism, Cold War, and the suppression of freedom of thought and speech, he narrated the novel by setting a dystopic world as a warning against the dangers of censorship and passive conformity. Addressing this context, different literary themes and motifs are featured in *Fahrenheit 451*, including over-reliance on technology, media censorship, and the need for conformity versus the violation of individuality.

The use of characterization stands out from other literary devices in Bradbury's work since, through this technique, he symbolizes opposing ideological forces. The protagonist of the novel is Guy Montag, who is a 30-year-old fireman. He burns outlawed books for a living, even if he does not enjoy his job. Thanks to his young neighbor Clarisse McClellan's questions, Montag starts to question censorship and societal control and transforms into an

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*?

intellectual at the end of the novel. Thus, through these two binary opposite characters, Bradbury represents the struggle between individuality and submission that shapes the dystopian world of the book.

This struggle becomes essential to understand the essence of *Fahrenheit 451* as the readers are presented with a dystopic world where people are kept away from reading, learning, and questioning. Considering the suppression and chaotic atmosphere, the novel functions in alignment with the definition of dystopia: “an imagined state or society in which there is great suffering or injustice, typically one that is totalitarian or post-apocalyptic.”¹ Therefore, even if no literary scholars and literature lovers can imagine setting a link between the words ‘book’ and ‘fire’, the title of the novel represents the ugly truth behind this ritual. The plot of the novel revolves around the warnings of Bradbury, such as censorship, independent thinking, and existing as a real individual who is not driven by conformity but guided by his/her own critical thinking and justifications. In the novel, Bradbury includes two significant characters and introduces them to the readers with an emphasis on their contrasting features. More clearly, while Clarisse symbolizes the moral side of the story, Beatty functions as her opposite by reflecting the outcomes of losing one’s identity over conformity.

To be able to represent the juxtaposition between Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty and how these two characters embody opposing ideas, the themes of censorship and social conformity will be initially explored in the paper, continuing with the clear examples of conflicting aspects of the two characters. Additionally, through the analysis of these opposing characters, the paper evaluates the destructive impact of censorship and highlights the necessity of personal freedom and importance of existing as a genuine individual. In this context, the essay addresses the following research question: **How do the contrasting characters of**

¹ "Definition of DYSTOPIA." Merriam-Webster: America's Most Trusted Dictionary, www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/dystopia. Accessed 30 Oct. 2025.

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in Fahrenheit 451?

Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in Fahrenheit 451?

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*?

Censorship and Social Conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*

As this paper aims to argue, Bradbury represents the tension between individuality and conformity in a restricted society where people lose their freedom and bring their own downfall by exposing the destructive effects of conformity. Through the juxtaposition of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty, the message of Bradbury has its common ground in the novel. Through Captain Beatty's characterization, Ray Bradbury uses the theme of censorship as a tool of power, enabling people like Captain Beatty to control how people think and behave. To bring evidence from the novel, Captain Beatty explicitly justifies this belief when he tells Montag, "*We must all be alike. Not everyone born free and equal, as the Constitution says, but everyone made equal. Each man the image of every other; then all are happy, for there are no mountains to make them cower, to judge themselves against*" (Bradbury 55). Through this line, he justifies governmental control that suppresses independent thinking. In other words, from Beatty's perspective, individuality violates the function of the authority since it threatens conformity. The control system is reinforced by the government as a dominant power in society through the means of a strategy which discourages people from questioning or thinking. This totalitarian approach is echoed in literary discussions which argue that totalitarian regimes function as mechanisms of conformity. As Atasoy states, "*The totalitarian state, therefore, impedes the flowering of knowledge and imagination as it is hazardous to the culture of conformity because the individuals who imagine different worlds turn out to be rebellious for the system (as in the case of Montag) since they are not submissive*". (Atasoy 403) The interpretation highlights that the system tries to control people and shape them in such a way that they will be submissive and obedient. In that context, the dystopian world dehumanizes people by hindering them from experiencing their own self and being authentic. The system tries to shape the society in which people are provided with artificial forms of happiness.

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in Fahrenheit 451?

To elaborate, this happiness is detached from any kind of imagination; rather it is reinforced that happiness is attained through other means. Since it is believed that reading and thinking wear people and make them upset, authority promotes the idea of happiness without mental or creative activities. As the idea is pointed by Beatty:

“You must understand that our civilization is so vast that we can’t have our minorities upset and stirred. Ask yourself, what do we want in this country, above all? People want to be happy, isn’t that right? Haven’t you heard it all your life? I want to be happy, people say. Well, aren’t they? Don’t we keep them moving, don’t we give them fun? That’s all we live for, isn’t it? For pleasure, for titillation? And you must admit our culture provides plenty of these.” (Bradbury 78)

Captain Beatty's words function as justification for the idea that people should not exhaust themselves by spending time on reading or engaging in intellectual activity to obtain happiness, actually they can obtain happiness solely through what government provides them with. Furthermore, it is striking that even if imagination is an essential part of being human, people are punished when they begin to imagine. Otherwise, the system cannot function, and conformity cannot be assured accordingly.

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in Fahrenheit 451?

Clarisse McClellan: A Symbol of Individuality

As a binary opposition, through characters such as Clarisse McClellan, Bradbury encourages readers to question authority and norms and rise up against it when it is necessary. Clarisse with all her individuality, ignites the light of enlightenment for Montag, a fireman who is assigned to put the books on fire. Thus, Montag transforms from a symbol of fire to a symbol of wisdom – from was a brainwashed person like the majority of society, to an entirely different person with the help of Clarisse.

Through his transformation from conformity to individuality, Bradbury tries to raise awareness about the importance of being ourselves, being a true individual. This awakening moment occurs after Montag witnesses that a woman chooses to remain at her home while her books are set on fire. *“There must be something in books, things we can’t imagine, to make a woman stay in a burning house”* (Bradbury 51). This scene marks the first moment of his questioning.

Moreover, discontent with society and civilization, Clarisse emerges as a girl who is passionate about learning and imagining. Her interest in books grows gradually as she continues to hide books and leads people to question her actions. In the book, Clarisse is not hesitant to talk about Montag’s life as a fireman, even if she is opposed to the government’s system of burning books. When she meets Montag for the first time, she ignites the light of Montag’s awakening, asking, *“Are you happy?”* (Bradbury 7). This moment represents Clarisse as the power for Montag’s transformation since she influences him to question the violation of individuality and his covered dissatisfaction with conformity. *“I heard once that a long time ago houses used to burn by accident and they needed firemen to stop the flames,”* she chides (Bradbury 8). Unlike the brainwashed people in society, Clarisse embraces nature and enjoys life with all her senses. On the day she meets with Montag, she introduces herself as, *“I like to smell things and look at things, and sometimes stay up all night, walking, and watch the sun rise”* (Bradbury 21). She

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in Fahrenheit 451?

talks about the colors, and the feeling of touching a dandelion (Bradbury 21–22), explaining that old leaves “*smell like cinnamon*” (Bradbury 29), and she even enjoys the taste of rain, telling Montag that “*Rain even tastes good*” (Bradbury 21). She questions why and how other people get disconnected from the beauty of nature. Bradbury uses the description of beauty of nature and the animals through Clarisse’s mind in contrast to this dystopic chaotic world. She associates happiness with the smell of leaves, the rain, and all natural forces. When she speaks, the readers realize the soft tone of her lines as a silver line in a destroyed world. She mirrors her spirit with the beauties surrounding her.

Since she does not enjoy what other people like, she is considered as ‘*other*’ or a ‘*anti-social*’ among her peers. Thus, she is not able to make friends, afraid of her peers because “*everyone she knows is either shouting or dancing around like wild or beating up one another [...] They kill each other.*” (Bradbury 23) Even if she is considered as anti-social, she is characterized as an introspective girl who is into observing people as she addresses her classmates as “*run people over*” and “*shoot each other,*”. She sets herself apart from those who are dehumanized through conformity and the rejection of unnatural activities, or overreliance on technology. For her, her alternative lifestyle functions to survive as an individual. Her characterization suggests that she is not marginalized due to a lack of social skills, but because she distances herself from society intentionally regarding that what they define as ‘*normal*’ does not align with her values. Therefore, it can be stated that Bradbury characterizes her as someone who cannot fit into society that demands conformity and encourages the sense of obedience and surveillance.

Clarisse’s otherness takes its roots from her family since she has a special and real bond with her family members. As a family, they never neglect to get together, have deep conversations, and listening to each other, unlike other families. Thanks to her enlightened family, she could get herself educated and become a self-made person before the ideal state is founded. “*I sometimes think drivers don't know what grass is, or flowers, because they never see them*

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in Fahrenheit 451?

slowly” she said. “If you showed a driver a green blur, Oh yes! he’d say, that’s grass! A pink blur? That’s a rose-garden! White blurs are houses. Brown blurs are cows. My uncle drove slowly on a highway once. He drove forty miles an hour and they jailed him for two days.” (Bradbury 24) When Clarisse talks about what she sees and feels during the car ride with her uncle, she makes it even clearer that nature is enough to make someone happy if they can see with their hearts. This narration reveals how Clarisse appreciates and praises nature even if she is encircled with people who lack emotional awareness and observation. Furthermore, the repetitive use of ‘*blur*’ may symbolize how society normalizes fast and constant motion over slow and attentive life. Since speed hinders people from slowing down and enjoying life fully, Clarisse rejects the values of her society. With the encouragement of this, Clarisse becomes a symbol of individuality through embodying her lenience to think feel and act differently than everyone around her.

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*?

Captain Beatty: Symbol of Conformity and Control

Captain Beatty, who is the chief of firemen, serves as the most precise representation of enforced conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*. Since he is addressed as the leader of the firemen, he becomes the embodiment of what is ideal for the government. By promoting ideological uniformity, he suppresses critical thinking and being individual in essence. Unlike the brainwashed people who are not able to question the rules, Beatty is aware of the power of knowledge and critical skills. However, he consciously makes use of this knowledge to manipulate and control people. In other words, he does not rely on conformity out of ignorance, but by his own decision. He embodies the paradox since he is an intellectual but suppresses any kind of intellectual activity for the sake of order. As an example of this paradox, he even quotes from literature by reflecting the importance of words in communication, but he uses words to manipulate, not to enlighten people. Also, even if he is an intellectual, he addresses reading activities as, “*a book is a loaded gun in the house next door,*” (Bradbury 47) the solution is to “*burn it.*” As the chief of the firemen, he refers to books as traitors since “*they turn you on when you think they’re backing you.*” (Bradbury 107) Through this statement, it is pointed out that even if Beatty is an intellectual, he approaches knowledge as something threatening social control. Through the symbolization of books as weapons, he represents reading and any kind of mental practice as a threat to social order.

Therefore, he suggests setting the books on fire since it is dangerous and risky to allow people to read them. Beatty then strengthens his arguments by criticizing the varying nature of interpreting books by stating that, “*Where’s your common sense? None of those books agree with each other. You’ve been locked up here for years with a regular damned Tower of Babel. Snap out of it! The people in those books never lived. Come on now!*” (Bradbury 82) As Beatty points out, books generate different ideas and evoke different emotions that do not align with

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*?

the idea of conformity. Given that different viewpoints threaten unity and cooperation, any kind of individuality is discouraged throughout the novel.

To perform the act of destruction, Beatty even makes use of the '*Mechanical Hound*', which is a robotic enforcer. Like a trained attack dog, it is controlled by Beatty and functions to hunt down anyone who violates the law. As a result, Beatty uses the Hound as a means of control out of fear, reflecting the importance of technology as a weapon in the hands of suppressive authorities to enable them to intimidate and suppress others.

In the novel, he explains the background of book burning and why it is considered illegal:

"There you have it, Montag. It didn't come from the Government down. There was no dictum, no declaration, no censorship, to start with, no! Technology, mass exploitation, and minority pressure carried the trick, thank God. Today, thanks to them, you can stay happy all the time, you are allowed to read comics, the good old confessions, or trade journals." (Bradbury 45)

Given that Beatty represents censorship as the product of society, it can be discussed that he justifies the act of censorship as a natural process. He puts forward that people demanded books, and there was no oppression by the government. However, with the mass production, the technology and entertainment industry, they started to reject reading books and followed the strict implementation of censorship. Therefore, the government applied the censorship gradually, step by step. Furthermore, this approach supports the analysis of Recep Yılmaz as he emphasizes *"This is the most effective kind of censorship. Since society demanded it, there cannot be a reaction against the rulers. If the situation was the opposite, there would have been reaction and even protests against the authority."* (Yılmaz 30) ² As Yılmaz argues, censorship becomes more effective once it is demanded by the society rather than dominated by the

² Recep Yılmaz, *A Study of "The Other" in Ray Bradbury's*, n.d.

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*?

government. To bring textual evidence from the book, in a parallel manner, in *Fahrenheit 451*, ideal society is not shaped by censorship or manipulation, but it is shaped by social agreement.

Furthermore, Beatty's approach on education and leisure activities represents how these activities hinder people from thinking and keep them disengaged from questioning authority.

Even if education should function to promote critical thinking, it actually promotes conformity in the novel. As Captain Beatty refers to what people should do, "*You don't have to think, eh?*"

Also at the school, they are given "*an hour of TV class, an hour of basketball or baseball or running, another hour of transcription history or painting pictures, and more sports.*"

(Bradbury 13) However, even if the word 'sports' has a positive connotation in this quote, Beatty refers to another suppression of the government since they aim to simplify the education to make people less engaged with critical thinking. As a result, students whose curriculum is stuffed with sports at school will have less time to question things. Through this, Beatty becomes a symbol of control and thus represents how the greatest threat to originality is not illiteracy, but oppression through knowledge.

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*?

Juxtaposition of Clarisse and Beatty

When Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty are compared, the opposing ideas that shape the moral conflict of *Fahrenheit 451* are revealed. However, the true significance of the comparison of the two characters lies not in their opposition, but it lies in what extent they expose the limitations of each other. In the novel, narrated between these two characters, Montag is confronted with two opposing viewpoints that shape his character development. Since they perceive life as completely different from each other, the juxtaposition of their approach needs a careful attention. In that context, Clarisse enjoys life, appreciates nature and her senses, yet Beatty thinks that people like Clarisse are bad influence on the fundamental system and conformity since they can affect people around them. Beatty warns Montag not to be deceived by a little girl by stating, *"You weren't fooled by that little idiot's routine, now, were you? Flowers, butterflies, leaves, sunsets, oh, hell!"* (Bradbury 86) With these warnings, Beatty highlights that people who are like Clarisse are 'others' in society by violating the essence of conformity. For him, people should not complicate their minds with unnecessary books, never engage in mental activities and be aware of their senses. However, Clarisse holds a great threat to him as she asks extraordinary questions and shakes the values of society on feelings and thinking. To bring evidence from the novel, she functions as a turning point in Montag's life as she influences Montag to question his life throughout the novel. Even when they meet for the first time, she does not hesitate to ask deep questions directly. She is brave enough to ask, *"Do you ever read any of the books you burn?"* (Bradbury 8) and, observing him closely with a great curiosity, *"Are you happy?"* she asks. (Bradbury 9) Her enthusiasm to ask and learn helps her to develop her character authentically. Thus, she does not manipulate Montag into simply rebelling against the government but rather motivates him to think critically.

Through her question on happiness, Montag has the first real crack of doubt and realizes that *"He was not happy. He said the words to himself. He recognized this as the true state of affairs."*

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*?

He wore his happiness like a mask and the girl had run off across the lawn with the mask and there was no way of going to knock on her door and ask for it back." (Bradbury 11) Thus, it can be understood that through her characterization, Bradbury represents the importance of individuality that suppressive regimes try to eliminate. With her guidance, she leads to Montag's character development, which emphasizes that being an individual starts with questioning the status quo and having the courage to live in your true self.

However, Beatty thinks that people who read, think and question are threats to social order as they violate the status quo. In other words, being programmed to restrict people, he violates the freedom and individuality of people. Thus, Captain Beatty expresses his satisfaction upon her death: *"the poor girl's better off dead."* (Bradbury 48) As a result, her sudden disappearance can be read as a warning that how a suppressive regime eliminates anyone or anything that violates conformity. Thus, Clarisse's freedom of thought holds a threat for him to apply those restrictions.

Ultimately, the juxtaposition of Clarisse and Beatty reveals that there is not only a clash between individuality and conformity in *Fahrenheit 451* but there are also deeply psychological concerns that shape the novel. To elaborate, while Clarisse challenges the authority without applying force, Beatty tries to ensure conformity through limitations and using force against society. Through this juxtaposition, the reader may understand how fragile conformity is when it is confronted with critical thinking, and independent thought. Therefore, when considered together, these characters represent that the conflict between opposing powers do not take their roots only from social or political contexts, conversely these ideas are shaped in accordance with how individuals perceive happiness, freedom, and identity. Through their juxtaposition, Bradbury tries to raise awareness about the destructive power of authoritarian systems when people accept and never question the status quo.

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*?

Conclusion

Suppressed by the government, *Fahrenheit 451* narrates a dystopic society in which people are deprived of the freedom to question and shape their own identity. They are forced to follow what they are told to do. In parallel with the publication time of *Fahrenheit 451*, during the great transformation of the world in 1953, Bradbury uses dystopic and chaotic elements in reference to the story's placement in the future, which can be interpreted as 24th century dystopia, such as censorship and conformity to raise awareness against individual freedom.

Through the characterization of Clarisse and Captain Beatty, Bradbury intentionally constructs two binary opposite characters to highlight the main differences of juxtaposition, especially as he associates individual freedom and wisdom of Clarisse with enforced conformity and destruction of Captain Beatty. While the main role and purpose of Clarisse clearly depicts individuality and truth over restriction, Captain Beatty is the opposing party with his forcefulness of rule and regulation. Montag is being pulled back and forth between the ideologist and free-spirited character of Clarisse and the obedient and secure personality of Captain Beatty.

As an ultimate moral of the story, Bradbury narrates that although Clarisse's physical contribution ends early on, her ideology remains until the end despite Beatty's power, and leads to Montag's transformation. Even in a dystopic world, this transformation influences the reader to feel and question as a genuine individual. The reader becomes more aware of how conformity and regulation is not actually in the form of dictatorship but is a form of psychological strain where fear of isolation and vulnerability is the main culprit in challenging one's individuality. Breaching the minds of the people takes its roots from different political and social campaigns but finds its common ground with their destructive outcomes, and this is the main warning that lies beneath Bradbury's intent in writing *Fahrenheit 451*.

Research Question: How do the contrasting characters of Clarisse McClellan and Captain Beatty highlight the central conflict between individuality and conformity in *Fahrenheit 451*?

Bibliography

1. Atasoy, Emrah. "Impediment to Knowledge and Imagination in Ray Bradbury's Dystopian Novel, *Fahrenheit 451*." *Ankara Üniversitesi Dil ve Tarih-Coğrafya Fakültesi Dergisi* 55, no. 1 (2015): 399–414.
2. Bradbury, Ray. *Fahrenheit 451*. 4th ed., HarperVoyager, 2008.
3. "Definition of DYSTOPIA." Merriam-Webster: America's Most Trusted Dictionary, www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/dystopia. Accessed 22 Feb. 2024.
4. Yılmaz, Recep. "A Study of 'The Other' in Ray Bradbury's *Fahrenheit 451*." *Ankara Üniversitesi Dil ve Tarih-Coğrafya Fakültesi Dergisi* 1, no. 2 (2015): 27–43.